## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 06262 01 OF 02 181410Z

63

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 OIC-02 H-02 AS-01 /068 W ------ 060526

R 181330Z NOV 75
FM USMISSION NATO
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4659
SECDEF WAAHDC
INFO CINCLANT
USCINCEUR
USLOSACLANT
USNMR SHAPE

SECRET SECTION 1 OF 2 USNATO 6262

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, MNUC, NPG

SUBJECT NPG-NOVEMBER 17 NGP STAFF GROUP MEETING

SUMMARY: AT THE NOVEMBER 17 MEETING, THE NPG STAFF GROUP DISCUSSED WORK WHICH IT COULD UNDERTAKE NOW IN PREPARATION FOR THE SPRING 1976 NPG MINISTERIAL MEETING. SG DISCUSSION CENTERED AROUND POSSIBLE WORK ON CNI PROCEDURES. CANADAIAN REP CIRCULATED A PAPER REGARDING FUTURE WORK ON CNI PROCEDURES AND CANADAIAN VIEWS ON REVISION OF THE PERMREPS PROGRESS REPORT OB CNI-MEWSSAGES OF WARNING (NPG/D(75)9). TURKISH REP (OZCERI) INFORMED SG THAT TUKEY WAS CONSIDERING JOINING THE PHASE III WORKING GROUP. END SUMMARY..

1. AT THE NOVEMBER 17 MEETING, THE NPG STAFF GROUP DISCUSSED WORK WHICH IT COULD UNDERTAKE NOW IN PREPARATION FOR THE SPRING 1976 NPG MINISTERIAL MEETING. SG CHAIRMAN (SHEARER) STATED THAT PREPARATION FOR THE FALL 1975 MINISTERIAL MEETING WAS COMPLETE, AND HE DID NOT ENVISION THE NEED FOR ANY WORK WHICH WOULD AFFECT AGENDA ITEMS FOR THE POSTPOINED MEETING. CHAIRMAN SUGGESTED THAT SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 06262 01 OF 02 181410Z

SOME PRELIMINARY ORGANIZATIONAL ARRANGEMENTS FOR PHASE III MIGHT BE UNDERTAKEN ON THE ASSUMPTION THAT MINISTERS WOULD APPROVE THE PHASE III REPORT (NPG/D(75)7). HE ALSO RAISED THE POSSBILITY OF

BEGINNING WORK ON THE POLITICAL ASPECTS OF THE SCENARIO ILLUSTRATING RECENT DEVELOPMENTS IN CONSULTATION PROCEDURES (PO/75/128, PARA 7).

2. CHAIRMAN STATED THAT THE MOST FRUITFUL AREA FOR IMMEDIATE SG ATTENTION WAS CNI PROCEDURES. SINCE MINISTERS ALREDY HAD APPROVED WORK ON THIS SUBJECT AT MONTEREY. CANADIAN REP (HAMLIN) SUGGESTED THAT IS PREPARE AN INITIAL DRAFT OF CNI PROCEDURES TO PROVIDE A MEANS FOR THE NPG COUNTRIES TO EVALUATE THE DESIRABILITY OF SUCH PROCEDURES, NETHERLANDS REP (VAN VALDEREN). SUPPORTED THIS SUGGESTION, AS DID UK REP (BEAUMONT), WHO EMPHASIZED THAT MESSAGES OF WARNING AND CNI PRO-CEDURES SHOULD BE CONSIDERED SEPARATELY. US REP (WOODWORTH) NOTED THAT SINCE MINISTERS MAY REQUEST SOME FURTHER WORK ON MESSAGES OF WARNING IN LIGHT OF CANADIAN VIEWS, ANY CNI PROCEDURES MIGHT BE AFFECTED. FRG REP (HUBER) SUGGESTED TAKING NO ACTION ON EITHER MESSAGES OF WARNING OF CNI PROCEDURES UNTIL AFTER THE POSTPONED FALL 1975 NPG MINISTERIAL MEETING THIS VIEW HAD NO SUPPORT, AND CHAIRMAN STATED THAT IS WILL DRAFT A SHORT SET OF PROCEDURES. SUITABLE FOR INCLUSION IN THE DRAFT COMPILATION OF NUCLEAR CONSULTATION PROCUEDURES (NPG(STAFF GROUP) WP(75)7(REVISED)). IF NPG MEMBERS THEN DETERMINED THAT SUCH PROCEDURES WERE WARRANTED, THE STAFF GROUP WOULD TAKE THEM UNDER MORE DETAILED AND SUBSTANTIVE STUDY. CHAIRMAN STATED THAT HE EXPECTED TO CIRCULATE THE DRAFT PROCEDURES BY NOVEMBER 24.

3. CANADIAN REP CIRCUALTED A PPAPER, WHICH FOLLOWS BELOW IN PARA 5, REGARDING CANADAIN VIEWS OF THE PERMREPS PROGRESS REPORT ON MESSAGES OF WARNING. HE STATED THAT CANADA DESIRED FURTHER REVISION OF THE REPORT IN ORDER TO CLARIFY THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN PRE AND POST NUCLEAR USE DECISION MESSAGES OF WARNING. UK REP, SUPPORTED BY FRG REP, AID THAT SINCE CANADA SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 06262 01 OF 02 181410Z

WAS SEEKING REVISION OF THE REPORT, A
DETAILED, LINE BY LINE REDRAFT OF THE REPORT BY
CANADA WOULD BE MORE USEFUL THAN THE GENERAL OUTLINE
THAT THEY HAD PROVIDED. UK REP ALSO NOTED WHAT HE REGARDED
AS AN APPARENT LACK OF ENTHUSIASM FOR A MAJOR REVISION
OF THE PERMREPS REPORT ON MESSAGES OF WARNNING. SG
CHAIRMAN ASKED CANADIAN REP TO PROVIDE A MORE
DETAILED RATIONALE FOR INCLUDING MESSAGES OF WARNING
AND CNI PROCEDURES IN THE SAME REPORT. COMMENT: OUR
COMMENTS ON CNI PROCEDURES AND MESSAGES OF WARNING ARE
REPOTED SEPTEL. END COMMENT.

4. TURKISH REP STATED THAT TURKEY WAS CONSIDERING

JOINING THE PHASE III WORKING GROUP AND THAT HE WOULD INFORM THE SG AS SOON AS A FINAL DECISION WAS REACHED. UK REP NOTED THAT IF TURKEY HOINED THE WORKING GROUP, IT WOULD HAVE SEVEN MEMBERS AND WOULD CALL INTO QUESTION THE ORIGINAL CONCEPT OF A SAMLL WORKING GROUP INTERACTING WITH THE ENTIRE NPG STAFF GROUP. CHAIRMAN ACKNOWLEDGED THIS DIFFICULTY AND STATED THAT IT WAS A PROBLEM FOR COUNTREIES TO DECIDE. COMMENT: CLEARLY, THERE ARE PROS AND CONS TO TURKISH WORKING GROUP PARITIPATION. HOWEVER, WE SHARE UK REP'S CONCERN THAT A SEVEN-MEMBER WORKING GROUP RUNS COUNTER TO THE ORIGIANL CONCEPTION OF A SMALL WORKING GROUP THAT WOULD DO THE BASIC DRAFTING OF THE PHASE III DOCUMENT. IF WASHINGTON DOES NOT WANT TURKEY TO JOIN THE WORKING GROUP, IT WOULD BE PREFERABLE TO SEEK TO DISSUADE TURKEY BEFORE IT REACHES A FORMAL DECISION. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON GUIDANCE.

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 06262 02 OF 02 182245Z

63

**ACTION EUR-12** 

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-04 INR-07 L-03 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 SS-15 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 NSC-05 OIC-02 H-02 AS-01 /068 W ----- 066538

R 181330Z NOV 75 FM USSMISSION NATO TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4660 SECDEF WASHDC INFO CINCLANT USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 2 USNATO 6262

5. THERE FOLLOWS BELOW THE TEXT OF A CANADIAN PAPER WITH ITS ACCOMPANYING COVER LETTER REGARDING FUTURE CNI ACTIVITY BY THE NPG.

BEGIN TEXT OF COVER LETTER:

THE ATTACHED PIECE OF PAPER CONTAINS SOME CANADIAN THOUGHTS ON FUTURE WORK ON CNI.

I AM SENDING A COPY OF THIS LETTER AND THE ATTACHMENT TO OUR COLLEAGUES ON THE NPG STAFF GOUP.

END TEXT.

BEGIN TEXT:

IN THE LIGHT OF THE DECISION AT THE PERMANENT
REPRESENTATIVES' MEETING ON OCTOBER 29 AND OF A SUBSEQUENT
SDUSCUSSION IN THE NPG STAFF GROUP, AT WHICH IT WAS SUGGESTED
MEMBERS MIGHT GIVE THOUGHT TO THE WAY IN WHICH FURTHER WORK ON
CNI SHOULD BE UNDERTAKEN, THE CANADIAN AUTHORITIES OFFER THE
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 06262 02 OF 02 182245Z

FOLLOWING SUGGESTIONS FOR CONSIDERATION.

IN BUILDING ON AND COMPLETING THE VALUABLE WORK DONE TO DATE INCLUDING THAT ON MESSAGES OF WARNING, THE OBJECTIVE SHOULD BE TO ENABLE MINISTERS TO ADDRESS CNI IN ITS ENTIRIETY, POSSIBLY AT THE 1976 MSPRING MINISTERIAL MEETING.

BECAUSE MESSAGES OF WARNING AND CNI PROCEDURES ARE CLOSELY LINKED, THEYMIGHT WELL BE ENCOMPASSED IN ONE PAPER: ALTERNATIVELY, THEY COULD BE COVERED IN SEPARATE BUT RELATED PAPERS AS SOME NPG MEEMBERS MAY PREFER.

AS REGARDS MESSAGES OF WARNING, A REVISION OF THE EXISTING PAPER SHOULD DISTINGUISH MORE CLEARLY BETWEEN PRE-DECISION AND POST-DECISION MESSAGES. A SHORT SECTION ON PRE-DECISION MESSAGES WHICH WOULD COVER MESSAGES BEFORE AND AFTER THE OUTBREAK OF HOSTILITIES COULD BE FOLLOWED BY A SECTION ON POST-DECISION MESSAGES WHICH WOULD BE THE MAIN FOCUS OF THE PAPER. IN EACH SECTION A STATEMENT OF THE AIM OF SUCH A MESSAGE MIGHT BE FOLLOWED BY THE FACTORS INVOLVED IN DETERMINING WHETHER OR NOT TO SEND A MESSAGE (INCLUDING WHAT IT IS HOPED TO ACHIEVE BY SENDING A MESSAGE AND THE UNPREDICABILITY OF SOVIET REACTIONS IN A CRISIS SITUATION). EACH SECTION MIGHT THEN CONTINUE WITH MENTION OF CONSULATION ASPECTS AND POSSIBLE CHANNELS FOR SENDING MESSAGES, WHICH COULD BE MESHED WITH OTHER PROCEDURAL GUIDLINES IF IT WERE DECIDED TO INCLUDE PROCEDURES IN THE SAME PAPER.

FOR THE DIFFERENT KINDS OF MESSAGES, THE TREATMENT OF PROCUDURES SHOULD SEEK NOT TO IMPOSE STRICT GUIDLINES ON, BUT RATHER TO PROVIDE A FLEXIBLE FRAMEWORK FOR, THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS, A FRAMEWORK WITHIN WHICH DIFFERING VIEWS OF DPC MEMBERS WOULD BE CONSIDERED AND A FINAL DECISION MADE. IN THIS CONNE TION, THE GUIDLINES MIGHT DRAW ATTENTION TO CIRCUMSTANCES IN WHICH THE VIEW OF THE NUCLEAR POWER WOULD PREVAIL. AMONG THE FACTORS WHICH MIGHT CONSIDERED IN THE GUIDELINES ARE DRAFTING AND DESPATCH OF MESSAGES AND PROVISIONS FOR EVALUATING THE ENBMY REPONSE.

WHETHER PROCEDURES ARE TREATED IN THE SAME PAPER AS MESSAGES OF WARNING OR IN A SEPARATE PAPER, PROCUDURES MIGHT BEST

BE DEALT	WITH IN	THE FORM	OF A	CONCISE	OUTLINE,	WHICH	AFTER
SECRET							

PAGE 03 NATO 06262 02 OF 02 182245Z

MINISTERIAL CONSIDERATION COULD BE INSERTED IN THE COMPILATION OF NUCLEAR CONSULTATION PROCEDURES AS A TOOL FOR FUTURE REFERENCE. END TEXT BRUCE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 18 AUG 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: n/a Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 18 NOV 1975 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975NATO06262

Document Number: 1975NATO06262
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS

Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: NATO

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19751189/abbrznfp.tel Line Count: 228

Locator: TEXT ON-LINE

Office: n/a

**Original Classification: SECRET** Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 5

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 30 APR 2003

**Review Event:** 

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <30 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <30 DEC 2003 by GolinoFR>

**Review Markings:** 

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review

06 JÚL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:** Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SUBJECT NPG-NOVEMBER 17 NGP STAFF GROUP MEETING

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, MNUC, NPG

SECDEF WAAHDC INFO CINCLANT

USCINCEUR USLOSACLANT **USNMR SHAPE** Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 06 JUL 2006